

WG13 Issues - CIM Issues #7034

Resolve ambiguity / multiple interpretations (that conflict) in the constraints/rules/documents around ConnectivityNode containment for ACDCCConverter scenario.

10/24/2024 12:04 PM - Todd Viegut

Status:	New	
Priority:	Normal	
Target version:		
Author/Contact Info:	Todd Viegut; todd.viegut@gmail.com	Standard(s): IEC 61970-301, IEC 61970-452, IEC 61970-600-2
Base Release:	CIM17	Version:
Solution to be Applied To:	CIM18	Clause:
Solution Version:		Sub-Clause:
Solution Applied By:		Paragraph:
Completion Date:		Table:
CIM Keywords:	61970-Wires	Originally Closed in Version:
Breaking Change:	No	Origination Date:
Breaking Change Description:		Origination ID:
CIM Impacted Groups:	WG13	Originally Assigned To:
Requestor:		
Description <p>When fielding implementation-related questions for the 456 TP profile, Chavdar and I identified that we currently have ambiguity and two interpretations (that conflict) expressed across current publications of the 301/452/456.</p> <p>Specifically, a question came up pertaining to ConnectivityNodes, ConnectivityNodeContainers and containment. The context being tracing out the BaseVoltage corresponding to a ConnectivityNode/container. The BaseVoltage being that corresponding to a particular TopologicalNode in a TP profile (where TopologicalNode -> BaseVoltage is required).</p> <p>Attached to this JIRA is a PDF with background and highlights around the ambiguity. Discussion and decisions are needed as to how we may want to eliminate this ambiguity/conflict via new (or updated) constraints for our end-users.</p>		

History

- #1 - 10/24/2024 12:18 PM - Todd Viegut
- Description updated
- #2 - 10/24/2024 12:37 PM - Todd Viegut
- File Redmine Issue #7034 Background.pdf added

Files

Redmine Issue #7034 Background.pdf	400 KB	10/24/2024	Todd Viegut
------------------------------------	--------	------------	-------------