

Server - Issues #6209

When does the one packet with SmpCnt=0 is missing in step 2 of sSvsN6

01/12/2023 04:01 AM - Hua Qin

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Due date:</b>	02/21/2023
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal		
<b>Assignee:</b>	Richard Schimmel		
<b>Category:</b>			
<b>Target version:</b>			
<b>Discuss in Upcoming Meeting:</b>	No	<b>Updated Test Document:</b>	
<b>Clause Reference:</b>		<b>Test Case ID:</b>	
<b>61850 Standard:</b>		<b>Closed Reason:</b>	No Action Taken
<b>Triggering Tissue:</b>		<b>Triggering Tissue 2:</b>	
<b>Final Decision:</b>		<b>Triggering Tissue 3:</b>	
<b>Initial Test Document:</b>			
<b>Description</b>			
For sSvsN6 step 2, can you please explain when is the missing one packet with SmpCnt=0?			
Is every SmpCnt=0 packet lost during the whole test?			
Or is it only missing once at the beginning of step 2? If this is the case, then it seems that sSvsN6 is the same as sSv3.			
Or is it only missing once at a random time during the step 2? In this case, sSvsN6 is different from sSv3			

History

#1 - 02/07/2023 08:08 AM - IEC 61850 TPWG

- Due date set to 02/21/2023
- Status changed from New to In Progress
- Assignee set to Richard Schimmel

Richard to investigate

#2 - 02/21/2023 07:59 AM - Richard Schimmel

sSvs3 is about multiple samples in one packet. In that case it can that the sample with smpCnt=0 is not the first samole in the packet. It still there and it's not missing a packet/sample.

sSvsN6 is missing one packet only. (Not one packet per second)

#3 - 02/21/2023 08:14 AM - IEC 61850 TPWG

- Status changed from In Progress to Closed
- Closed Reason No Action Taken added
- Closed Reason deleted (--Not Set--)

Questions answered.