

Server - Issues #6174

Amend sCtl7 to test both interlocking check and check synchronizing ?

11/02/2022 11:10 AM - Michael Haecker

Status: Rejected	Due date: 02/07/2023
Priority: Normal	
Assignee: Richard Schimmel	
Category:	
Target version:	
Discuss in Upcoming Meeting: No	Updated Test Document:
Clause Reference:	Test Case ID:
61850 Standard:	Closed Reason: --Not Set--
Triggering Tissue:	Triggering Tissue 2:
Final Decision:	Triggering Tissue 3:
Initial Test Document:	
Description	
<p>The title for sCtl7 is „Checks conditions“, so valid for both interlock check and synchronism check. The behaviour on synchronism check is testable as well, so why not testing it?</p> <p>We would not need to rename sCtl7, we could add further steps 4, 5 und 6 in "light mode" stating that they are to be executed similar to steps 1 to 3:</p> <p>"</p> <p>If interlock check is supported, then execute steps 1 to 3.</p> <p>If synchronism check is supported, then execute steps 4 to 6 like steps 1 to 3 applying „Check Synchrocheck“ and „Blocked-by-synchrocheck“ instead.</p> <p>"</p>	

History

#1 - 11/29/2022 08:25 AM - IEC 61850 TPWG

This is complicated to test - requires additional equipment, etc.

#2 - 01/10/2023 08:10 AM - IEC 61850 TPWG

Discuss further when Richard is available.

#3 - 01/24/2023 09:05 AM - IEC 61850 TPWG

- Project changed from IEC 61850 TPWG to Server
- Due date set to 02/07/2023
- Assignee changed from Joel Greene to Richard Schimmel
- Discuss in Upcoming Meeting set to No
- Closed Reason --Not Set--- added

#4 - 02/07/2023 08:24 AM - IEC 61850 TPWG

- Status changed from New to Rejected

Test would require significant equipment - not reasonable.