

WG13 Issues - CIM Issues #5894

CDPSM conflicting roles OperationalLimitType.OperationalLimit and OperationalLimit.OperationalLimitType

07/13/2022 02:15 PM - Martin Miller

<b>Status:</b>	In Progress	
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	
<b>Target version:</b>		
<b>Author/Contact Info:</b>		<b>Standard(s):</b> 61968-13
<b>Base Release:</b>		<b>Version:</b> 2
<b>Solution to be Applied To:</b>		<b>Clause:</b> 6.3.2.89
<b>Solution Version:</b>		<b>Sub-Clause:</b>
<b>Solution Applied By:</b>		<b>Paragraph:</b>
<b>Completion Date:</b>		<b>Table:</b>
<b>CIM Keywords:</b>		<b>Originally Closed in Version:</b>
<b>Breaking Change:</b>		<b>Origination Date:</b>
<b>Breaking Change Description:</b>		<b>Origination ID:</b>
<b>CIM Impacted Groups:</b>	WG13	<b>Originally Assigned To:</b>
<b>Requestor:</b>		
<b>Description</b> The role OperationalLimitType.OperationalLimit in section 6.3.2.89 is in conflict with the role OperationalLimit.OperationalLimitType in section 6.3.2.87 because they are both roles of the same relationship		
<b>Proposed Solution</b> Given that the model manager guidelines usually promote 0..1 roles over 0..* roles, and also taking into consideration maintaining consistency with other existing profiles like CGMES, remove the role OperationalLimitType.OperationalLimit in section 6.3.2.89 and preserve the role OperationalLimit.OperationalLimitType in section 6.3.2.87		
<b>Decision</b> 18-Sep-2024 Joint TF Hybrid Meetings - Minneapolis: Reviewed and have moved to In Progress. To be fixed in CIM18. Should be reconfirmed upon completion of CIM18 (some dependence on the unbalanced discussions).		

History

- #1 - 09/04/2024 10:56 AM - Chavdar Ivanov
- Status changed from New to Open
- #2 - 09/18/2024 11:05 AM - Todd Viegut
- Status changed from Open to In Progress
- Decision updated