

WG16 Issues - CIM Issues #5849

Association between AggregateNode and Pnode

06/23/2022 10:34 AM - Herbert Falk

Status: Closed	
Priority: Normal	
Target version:	
Author/Contact Info: Becky	Standard(s):
Base Release: iec61970cim17v34_iec61968cim13v12_iec62325cim04v09	Version:
Solution to be Applied To:	Clause: AggregateNode
Solution Version: iec61970cim17v34_iec61968cim13v12_iec62325cim04v10	Sub-Clause:
Solution Applied By: BLI	Paragraph:
Completion Date: 02/17/2022	Table:
CIM Keywords:	Originally Closed in Version:
Breaking Change:	Origination Date: 02/02/2022
Breaking Change Description:	Origination ID: 16_0133
CIM Impacted Groups: None	Originally Assigned To:
Requestor:	
Description	
The association between AggregateNode and Pnode should be instead to AggregatedPnode.	
Proposed Solution	
The association is actually only between AggregateNode and AggregatedPnode. There is no use case to support a need for the association between the AggregateNode and IndividualPnode. Therefore the association should be removed from Pnode and added instead to AggregatedPnode. Existing cardinality is many to many, what should this cardinality actually be? 0..1 to 0..1 or 0..many to 0..many?	
Decision	
2/17/2022: Cardinality; set to 0..1 -> 0..1; no known use case to support the many to many at this time. Agreed to change the association (add new and remove the existing).	

History

#1 - 08/08/2022 06:00 PM - Becky Iverson

- Status changed from New to Closed