

## WG16 Issues - CIM Issues #5842

**ResourceTimeSeries; Propose to change the cardinality on the association to ResourcePerformanceEvaluation from 1 to 0..many on the ResourcePerformanceEvaluation end.**

06/23/2022 10:34 AM - Herbert Falk

<b>Status:</b> Closed	
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	
<b>Target version:</b>	
<b>Author/Contact Info:</b> Becky	<b>Standard(s):</b>
<b>Base Release:</b> iec61970cim17v34_iec61968cim13v12_iec62325cim04v08	<b>Version:</b>
<b>Solution to be Applied To:</b>	<b>Clause:</b> ResourceTimeSeries
<b>Solution Version:</b> iec61970cim17v34_iec61968cim13v12_iec62325cim04v10	<b>Sub-Clause:</b>
<b>Solution Applied By:</b> BLI	<b>Paragraph:</b>
<b>Completion Date:</b> 02/17/2022	<b>Table:</b>
<b>CIM Keywords:</b>	<b>Originally Closed in Version:</b>
<b>Breaking Change:</b>	<b>Origination Date:</b> 01/21/2022
<b>Breaking Change Description:</b>	<b>Origination ID:</b> 16_0126
<b>CIM Impacted Groups:</b> None	<b>Originally Assigned To:</b>
<b>Requestor:</b>	
<b>Description</b> Propose to change the cardinality on the association to ResourcePerformanceEvaluation from 1 to 0..many on the ResourcePerformanceEvaluation end.	
<b>Proposed Solution</b> A ResourceTimeSeries may be included in more than one ResourcePerformanceEvaluation. And not all ResourceTimeSeries are required to be associated to a ResourcePerformanceEvaluation.	
<b>Decision</b> 2/17/2022: Team agreed to the updated association cardinality	

### History

#1 - 08/08/2022 05:57 PM - Becky Iverson

- Status changed from New to Closed