WG14 Issues - CIM Issues #5238

Multiple Address associations

01/13/2022 06:39 AM - Herbert Falk

Status: Review

Priority: High

Target version:

Author/Contact Info: Jim Hosrtman /

jim.horstman@strateture.com

Base Release: **CIM 101** Solution to be **CIM 101**

Applied To:

Solution Version: Sub-Clause: Solution Applied By: Paragraph:

Completion Date: Table:

Originally Closed in CIM Keywords: 61968-Common

Version:

Standard(s):

Version:

Clause:

Breaking Change: Yes Origination Date:

The refactored classes are used in **Breaking Change**

Description: WG14 Part 3, 6, and 8 profiles.

CIM Impacted Groups:

WG14, WG16

Jim Horstman

Originally Assigned

Origination ID:

To:

Requestor:

Description

Provide capability to have 0..* address associations rather than limited attributes (3)

Proposed Solution

In conjunction with eliminating compound classes add class to which 0..* associations can be made

Related issues:

Related to WG14 Issues - CIM Issues #5318: Address modeling is too complex Review

History

#1 - 10/17/2023 01:48 AM - Henry Dotson

- Status changed from New to Open
- Author/Contact Info set to Jim Hosrtman / jim.horstman@strateture.com
- Base Release set to CIM 101
- Solution to be Applied To set to CIM 101
- Breaking Change changed from No to Yes
- Breaking Change Description set to The refactored classes are used in WG14 Part 3, 6, and 8 profiles.
- CIM Keywords 61968-Common added
- CIM Impacted Groups WG14, WG16 added
- CIM Impacted Groups deleted (WG13)

#2 - 10/17/2023 01:49 AM - Henry Dotson

- Status changed from Open to Review

#3 - 10/17/2023 01:50 AM - Henry Dotson

- Related to CIM Issues #5318: Address modeling is too complex added

04/09/2024 1/1