

## WG14 Part 1 Issues - CIM Issues #5164

### Recommendation / direction on foreign language support

01/12/2022 02:43 PM - Herbert Falk

<b>Status:</b> New	
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	
<b>Target version:</b>	
<b>Author/Contact Info:</b>	<b>Standard(s):</b>
<b>Base Release:</b>	<b>Version:</b>
<b>Solution to be Applied To:</b>	<b>Clause:</b>
<b>Solution Version:</b>	<b>Sub-Clause:</b>
<b>Solution Applied By:</b>	<b>Paragraph:</b>
<b>Completion Date:</b>	<b>Table:</b>
<b>CIM Keywords:</b>	<b>Originally Closed in Version:</b>
<b>Breaking Change:</b> No	<b>Origination Date:</b> 03/04/2013
<b>Breaking Change Description:</b>	<b>Origination ID:</b> 7
<b>CIM Impacted Groups:</b> WG13	<b>Originally Assigned To:</b>
<b>Requestor:</b>	
<b>Description</b>	
<b>Proposed Solution</b>	
Need to be discussed	
<b>Decision</b>	
<p>To be further discussed (seems not applicable). Shawn to contact David H. for more details. (2015-10-01) [From David H.]: In Part 9 we thought we were trying to support foreign languages by using numbers which were carefully enumerated. By passing the numbers themselves instead of English phrases, we thought that the client machine could look up what the number meant, then render a user interface in any language that the user chose. It didnt have to be English. I think the key thing is for each part to have a careful design, and a simple, normalized, well-defined list of enumerations to draw from. Having said that, it falls upon the other parts to do this not so much part 1. Also, given the following that 61850 has, I think it shows that the enumerations can be English letters or abbreviations. They dont have to be numeric. They just have to be well defined. [2017-10-26]: CIM Profiles should not be in other languages but only in English. As for annotations in UML, it is better to use tagged value. Issue closed (Richland Meeting)</p>	