# IEC 61850 User Feedback Task Force - Feature #5083

# Clarifications on the local/remote concept 3/8

09/20/2021 08:44 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

Status: Resolved Start date: 09/20/2021

Priority: Normal Due date: 03/20/2022

Assignee: Tom Berry % Done: 0%

Category: Standard clarification required Estimated time: 0.00 hour

Target version:

ID: 7 To discuss in WG10: No

Source: MH Short Proposal: Include a statement

**TF Unique ID:** 7 # MH Standard(s): IEC 61850-7-1 Ed2.1,7-5

WG10 Proposal: Needs More No

Information:

Estimated Assigned TF: Completion:

Discuss in Upcoming No

Meeting:

### **Description**

Add a statement about the use of XCBR.Loc (and similar LN classes). Assume XCBR.Loc="true". Will protection trips and switchgear controls by GAPC be rejected?

## **Proposal descriptions**

Not affected by Loc DO:

- Protection trips are not affected by Loc data object.
- GAPC.Op (will go through) --> PTRC.Tr (to trip) --> XCBR

Otherwise, they are affected by Loc DO (control model)

- GAPC SPC/DPC/APC controllable objects will be affected by Loc data object.

The proposal is to include a statement about this behaviour in 7-1. There is a section about local/remote in 7-1, maybe it is the good place for that.

# Related issues:

Related to IEC 61850 User Feedback Task Force - Feature #5081: Clarifications... Resolved 09/20/2021

Copied to 61850-7-5 and 61850-7-500 - IEC61850-7-5 #6389: Clarifications on t... In Progress 09/20/2021 03/20/2022

### History

## #1 - 10/05/2021 11:34 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Due date set to 03/20/2022
- To discuss in WG10 changed from Yes to No

# #2 - 01/18/2022 09:23 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Discuss in Upcoming Meeting changed from No to Yes
- Proposal descriptions updated
- Short Proposal set to Include a statement

#### #3 - 01/18/2022 09:24 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Status changed from New to In Progress

#### #4 - 02/01/2022 08:14 AM - Vladan Cvejic

- Related to Feature #5084: Clarifications on the local/remote concept 4/8 added

## #5 - 02/01/2022 08:17 AM - Vladan Cvejic

- Related to deleted (Feature #5084: Clarifications on the local/remote concept 4/8)

04/10/2024 1/2

#### #6 - 02/01/2022 08:18 AM - Vladan Cvejic

- Related to Feature #5081: Clarifications on the local/remote concept 1/8 added

#### #7 - 02/09/2022 07:18 AM - Vladan Cvejic

- Status changed from In Progress to Resolved
- Discuss in Upcoming Meeting changed from Yes to No

## #8 - 02/10/2022 06:21 AM - Tom Berry

Proposed clarification

Trip signals e.g. communicated by GOOSE are not affected by Loc. This includes trip signals direct from protection logical nodes or PTRC. A GAPC.Op status routed as an input to PTRC will not be affected by Loc.

When GAPC or any other logical node uses control services to activate SPC/DPC/INC/ENC/APC controllable objects in a destination logical node, then these commands may be affected by the local/remote status of the destination logical node and containing logical device(s).

See Feature #5082

#### #9 - 02/14/2022 02:50 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Assignee set to Tom Berry

## #10 - 02/14/2022 03:57 AM - Tom Berry

- Standard(s) changed from IEC 61850-7-1 Ed2.1 to IEC 61850-7-1 Ed2.1,7-5

#### #11 - 05/09/2023 09:32 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Needs More Information set to No

## #12 - 05/09/2023 09:33 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Discuss in Upcoming Meeting changed from No to Yes

# #13 - 06/05/2023 01:58 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Discuss in Upcoming Meeting changed from Yes to No

## #14 - 06/14/2023 08:21 AM - Vladan Cvejic

- Copied to IEC61850-7-5 #6389: Clarifications on the local/remote concept 3/8 added

04/10/2024 2/2