IEC 61850 User Feedback Task Force - Feature #5082

Clarifications on the local/remote concept 2/8

09/20/2021 08:39 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

Status: Resolved Start date: 09/20/2021

 Priority:
 Normal
 Due date:
 01/20/2022

Assignee: Tom Berry % Done: 0%

Category: Standard clarification required Estimated time: 0.00 hour

Target version:

ID: 6 To discuss in WG10: No

Source: MH Short Proposal: Should we state that

"automatic-bay/station/remote" or Cat would not be blocked by L/R concept?

TF Unique ID: 6 # MH Standard(s): IEC 61850-7-4,7-5

WG10 Proposal: Needs More No

Information:

Estimated Assigned TF: Completion:

Discuss in Upcoming No

Meeting:

Description

Add a statement about the intention of the L/R concept. Specify whether the L/R concept is applicable for operator controls exclusively, not for switchgear controls in general.

Assume LD/LLN0.Loc="false". Will a switchgear control by GAPC (orCat="automatic-bay") be executed?

Proposal descriptions

We think the solution should be in IEC 61850-7-4 Annex B table with a clarification with some use cases. Use cases could be created in 7-500.

Related issues:

Related to IEC 61850 User Feedback Task Force - Feature #5081: Clarifications... Resolved 09/20/2021

Copied to 61850-7-5 and 61850-7-500 - IEC61850-7-5 #6388: Clarifications on t... In Progress 09/20/2021 01/20/2022

History

#1 - 01/18/2022 09:08 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Status changed from New to In Progress
- Proposal descriptions updated
- Short Proposal set to Should we state that "automatic-bay/station/remote" or Cat would not be blocked by L/R concept?
- Standard(s) changed from IEC 61850-7-1 Ed2.1 to IEC 61850-7-4

Situation:

- We have an IED running some automation process with a GAPC instance
- The GAPC could control a breaker or switch
- If the IED is in remote, does GAPC automatic control (orCat=automatic-bay) be executed?

According to IEC 61850-7-4 Annex B, in order to make it executable, MltLev should be implemented and set to True.

But, also, should an IED in remote state block automatic controls? Today it is an implementation issue in IEDs.

Should we state that "automatic-bay" or Cat would not be blocked by L/R concept? There are three or Cat values (automatic-bay, automatic-station, automatic-remote). or Cat is also used to provide the reason in the return information.

#2 - 02/01/2022 08:18 AM - Vladan Cvejic

- Related to Feature #5081: Clarifications on the local/remote concept 1/8 added

#3 - 02/09/2022 07:18 AM - Vladan Cvejic

- Status changed from In Progress to Resolved
- Discuss in Upcoming Meeting changed from Yes to No

04/08/2024 1/2

#4 - 02/10/2022 06:39 AM - Tom Berry

Proposed clarification

Normally automation commands should be processed in exactly the same way as operator commands, which includes the local/remote status, interlocks or command blocking.

Some customers may want a different behaviour. This should be defined in the PIXIT or with extension configuration settings.

Proposal: extension optional configuration setting for LLN0 and/or CSWI

LocBlkAut SPG enable local mode to block automation controls

If the value is true then for controls with orCat values "automatic-bay/automatic-station/automatic-remote" are blocked if Loc status is true.

If the value is false then for controls with orCat values "automatic-bay/automatic-station/automatic-remote", the Loc status is ignored.

If the setting is not instantiated then for controls with orCat values "automatic-bay/automatic-station/automatic-remote", then the Loc status is applied in the same way as controls from an operator.

Alternative

LockBlkAutLev ENG defines which level of automation commands are blocked if this logical node or device is in local mode Enumerated setting: 0=all-automatic-commands-allowed, 4=local-blocks-automatic-bay, 5=local-blocks-automatic-station, 6=local-blocks-automatic-remote

#5 - 02/14/2022 02:50 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Assignee set to Tom Berry

#6 - 02/14/2022 03:57 AM - Tom Berry

- Standard(s) changed from IEC 61850-7-4 to IEC 61850-7-4,7-5

#7 - 05/09/2023 09:32 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Needs More Information set to No

#8 - 05/09/2023 09:33 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Discuss in Upcoming Meeting changed from No to Yes

#9 - 06/05/2023 01:58 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Discuss in Upcoming Meeting changed from Yes to No

#10 - 06/14/2023 08:21 AM - Vladan Cvejic

- Copied to IEC61850-7-5 #6388: Clarifications on the local/remote concept 2/8 added

04/08/2024 2/2