WG13 Issues - CIM Issues #4919

RMS verses instantaneous measurements The CIM should be abl

09/14/2021 03:47 PM - Herbert Falk

Status: Closed

Priority: Low

Author/Contact Info: Kendall Demaree

Base Release: IEC61970CIM14v13IEC61968CIM10v30

combined

Solution to be

Applied To:

Target version:

Solution Version: Sub-Clause: Solution Applied By: Paragraph:

Completion Date: 10/03/2023 Table:

CIM Keywords: **Originally Closed in**

Version:

Standard(s):

Version:

Clause:

Breaking Change: Nο Origination Date: 08/03/2010

Breaking Change

Description: CIM Impacted

WG13

Groups:

Requestor:

Originally Assigned

13_22

Origination ID:

To:

Description

RMS verses instantaneous measurements

The CIM should be able to exchange both RMS (as it does now) or instantaneous values for SCADA or PMU measurements. One should also be able to distinguish between an analog value being RMS or instantaneous within one exchange.

Proposed Solution

Could add an instantaneous/RMS flag or enumeration to Analog class.

cim:Measurement.instantaneous:Bool - If true, the analog value is an instantaneous value, if false, it may depend upon the measurementType, but normally this would be an RMS value.

Decision

Oslo 14 June 2023 Close the issue

Following also discussion on 23 Aug 2023 after 2 weeks review period decided to close the issue

History

#1 - 02/07/2023 11:45 AM - Chavdar Ivanov

- Subject changed from RMS verses instantaneous measurements
- The CIM should be abl to RMS verses instantaneous measurementsThe CIM should be abl
- Status changed from Open to Review
- Base Release changed from IEC61970CIM14v13 IEC61968CIM10v30

combined to IEC61970CIM14v13IEC61968CIM10v30combined

- Proposed Solution updated

Is this actual or it should be closed?

#2 - 06/14/2023 10:03 AM - Chavdar Ivanov

- Decision updated

#3 - 10/03/2023 03:22 AM - Chaydar Ivanov

04/19/2024 1/2

- Status changed from Review to Closed
- Completion Date set to 10/03/2023
- Decision updated

04/19/2024 2/2