

IEC 61850 User Feedback Task Force - Support #475

With the renaming of LNTypes, DOTypes, etc., there should be a specification as to the maximum size for these names. The XSD sho

02/03/2021 02:07 PM - Herbert Falk

| | | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Status: | Closed | Start date: | |
| Priority: | Normal | Due date: | |
| Assignee: | | % Done: | 0% |
| Category: | Already captured with TISSUE | Estimated time: | 0.00 hour |
| Target version: | | To discuss in WG10: | |
| ID: | 31 | Short Proposal: | Specify max size 256 octets |
| Source: | IOP_2013 | Standard(s): | |
| TF Unique ID: | 31 # IOP_2013 | Needs More Information: | |
| WG10 Proposal: | According to Cathedral City meeting (ref. to UFTF-CathedralMOM20160219) this issue is closed. | Assigned TF: | |
| Estimated Completion: | June 2014 WG 10 Meeting | | |
| Discuss in Upcoming Meeting: | | | |
| Description | | | |
| With the renaming of LNTypes, DOTypes, etc., there should be a specification as to the maximum size for these names. The XSD should reflect the chosen size. | | | |

History

#1 - 06/21/2022 09:00 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Status changed from New to Closed