

## IEC 61850 User Feedback Task Force - Bug #458

### ICD issue when cBName="fix" but not all the RCBs has been declared

02/03/2021 02:07 PM - Herbert Falk

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	
<b>Priority:</b>	High	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	Standard extension required	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>		<b>To discuss in WG10:</b>	
<b>ID:</b>	15	<b>Short Proposal:</b>	Vendor ICD with CBname = fix should declare all RCBs
<b>Source:</b>	IOP_2013	<b>Standard(s):</b>	IEC 61850-6
<b>TF Unique ID:</b>	15 # IOP_2013	<b>Needs More Information:</b>	
<b>WG10 Proposal:</b>	According to Cathedral City meeting (ref. to UFTF-CathedralMOM20160219) this issue is closed.	<b>Assigned TF:</b>	
<b>Estimated Completion:</b>			
<b>Discuss in Upcoming Meeting:</b>	No		
<b>Description</b>			
During discussions, a question arose in regards to if there is a RCB with cBName="fix" and the maximum number of RCBs has not been reached, is it legal to create new RCBs. 61850-6 does not answer this question.			

#### History

#1 - 02/03/2021 04:00 PM - Herbert Falk

- Priority changed from Normal to High

#2 - 02/11/2021 06:15 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Subject changed from During discussions, a question arose in regards to if there is a RCB with cBName="fix" and the maximum number of RCBs has not be to ICD issue when cBName="fix" but not all the RCBs has been declared

- Status changed from New to Closed

- Standard(s) set to IEC 61850-6

- Discuss in Upcoming Meeting set to No

Checking done