

## IEC 61850 User Feedback Task Force - Bug #454

### Data model: extension of logical nodes with new DOs. Question about namespace.

02/03/2021 02:07 PM - Herbert Falk

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	
<b>Priority:</b>	High	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	Standard clarification required	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>		<b>To discuss in WG10:</b>	
<b>ID:</b>	11	<b>Short Proposal:</b>	The complete handling of name space attribute is currently under clarification in WG10.
<b>Source:</b>	IOP_2013	<b>Standard(s):</b>	
<b>TF Unique ID:</b>	11 # IOP_2013	<b>Needs More Information:</b>	
<b>WG10 Proposal:</b>	According to Cathedral City meeting (ref. to UFTF-CathedralMOM20160219) this issue is closed.	<b>Assigned TF:</b>	
<b>Estimated Completion:</b>	June 2015 WG10 Meeting		
<b>Discuss in Upcoming Meeting:</b>			
<b>Description</b>			
<p>An SCL file was produced that instantiated a new DO within a LN. The DO was from the same 61850-7-4 Namespace. The question that arose was what should be the Logical Node Namespace or Data Namespace. After discussion, the group tended to lean towards the use of DataNS to indicate this type of LN. However, clarification is needed within the standards.</p>			

#### History

#1 - 02/03/2021 04:00 PM - Herbert Falk

- Priority changed from Normal to High

#2 - 02/05/2021 07:09 AM - Herbert Falk

- Status changed from New to Closed

#3 - 02/09/2021 06:16 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Subject changed from An SCL file was produced that instantiated a new DO within a LN. The DO was from the same 61850-7-4 Namespace. The question that to Data model: extension of logical nodes with new DOs. Question about namespace.

CRC: I think it is clarified in 7-1 ed2. To confirm with task forcé.