

## IEC 61850 User Feedback Task Force - Bug #446

The actual format required for an ClientLN (used for ReportControl Block reservation) is not specified fully or in an understand

02/03/2021 02:07 PM - Herbert Falk

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	
<b>Priority:</b>	High	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>		<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>	Standard clarification required	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>		<b>To discuss in WG10:</b>	
<b>ID:</b>	3	<b>Short Proposal:</b>	Change in part 6 from arbitrary value and recommended LD0 to "shall be LD0".
<b>Source:</b>	IOP_2013	<b>Standard(s):</b>	IEC 61850-6
<b>TF Unique ID:</b>	3 # IOP_2013	<b>Needs More Information:</b>	
<b>WG10 Proposal:</b>	According to Cathedral City meeting (ref. to UFTF-CathedralMOM20160219) this issue is closed.	<b>Assigned TF:</b>	
<b>Estimated Completion:</b>	Ed2.1 part6		
<b>Discuss in Upcoming Meeting:</b>	No		

### Description

The actual format required for an ClientLN (used for ReportControl Block reservation) is not specified fully or in an understandable way.

LDInst is required, but for Client only IEDs, there is no LD. The standard indicates to select a random LDInst and provides some guidance. However, in order to insure that there is interoperability and that importers understand that there is NO LDInst, the value to indicate this needs to be standardized. Recommend the use of "none".

### History

#1 - 02/03/2021 03:58 PM - Herbert Falk

- Priority changed from Normal to High

#2 - 02/11/2021 06:30 AM - Carlos Rodriguez del Castillo

- Status changed from New to Closed

- % Done changed from 0 to 100

- Standard(s) set to IEC 61850-6

- Discuss in Upcoming Meeting set to No

Checking done.