

## WG13 Issues - CIM Issues #4170

attribute names of type StateXXXFlag would be clearer if g

09/14/2021 03:44 PM - Herbert Falk

<b>Status:</b> Closed	
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	
<b>Target version:</b>	
<b>Author/Contact Info:</b> Tom Berry	<b>Standard(s):</b>
<b>Base Release:</b>	<b>Version:</b>
<b>Solution to be Applied To:</b>	<b>Clause:</b>
<b>Solution Version:</b> CIM10	<b>Sub-Clause:</b>
<b>Solution Applied By:</b>	<b>Paragraph:</b>
<b>Completion Date:</b>	<b>Table:</b>
<b>CIM Keywords:</b>	<b>Originally Closed in Version:</b> CIM10
<b>Breaking Change:</b> No	<b>Origination Date:</b> 11/20/2000
<b>Breaking Change Description:</b>	<b>Origination ID:</b> 66
<b>CIM Impacted Groups:</b> WG13	<b>Originally Assigned To:</b>
<b>Requestor:</b>	
<b>Description</b> attribute names of type StateXXXFlag would be clearer if given names like isStateXXX	
<b>Proposed Solution</b> ProtectionEquipment.powerDirectionFlag => isPositiveFlow.  TransformerWinding.grounded => isGrounded  EnergyConsumer.conformLoadFlag => isConformingLoad	
<b>Decision</b> WG13: No change	