

## WG13 Issues - CIM Issues #4065

### StaticLoadType enumeration and properties on LoadStatic pro

09/14/2021 03:43 PM - Herbert Falk

<b>Status:</b> Closed	
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	
<b>Target version:</b>	
<b>Author/Contact Info:</b> Kendall Demaree	<b>Standard(s):</b>
<b>Base Release:</b> 61970cim15v26	<b>Version:</b>
<b>Solution to be Applied To:</b> 61970cim16	<b>Clause:</b>
<b>Solution Version:</b> CIM16	<b>Sub-Clause:</b>
<b>Solution Applied By:</b> LOO	<b>Paragraph:</b>
<b>Completion Date:</b> 01/17/2013	<b>Table:</b>
<b>CIM Keywords:</b>	<b>Originally Closed in Version:</b> CIM16
<b>Breaking Change:</b> No	<b>Origination Date:</b> 06/30/2011
<b>Breaking Change Description:</b>	<b>Origination ID:</b> 13_73
<b>CIM Impacted Groups:</b> WG13	<b>Originally Assigned To:</b>
<b>Requestor:</b>	
<b>Description</b> StaticLoadType enumeration and properties on LoadStatic probably duplicate LoadResponseCharacteristic This seems very similar if not identical idea as specified on LoadResponseCharacteristic class. The details are not documented on the enum values or the attributes, so its hard to tell exactly.	
<b>Proposed Solution</b> Probably can delete many attributes of StaticLoad class and delete the whole of enumeration StaticLoadType. Would add association LoadStatic[0..*]-LoadResponseCharacteristic[0..1]. This is similar to how an EnergyConsumer uses LoadResponseCharacteristic. LoadStatic is not a ConductingEquipment, so cannot specialize EnergyConsumer to obtain the association. There may be other properties of EnergyConsumer that we want consistent with LoadStatic though.	
<b>Decision</b> StaticLoadType and LoadStatic not in CIM. Agreed to close WG13 meet no 106 2013-01-17: LOO	