Solution to redmine 6208

**sSvsN2 in TP1.2 does not check the situation where the DUT checks ConfRev**

February 21, 2023

Keep the same ConfRev at step 2 and 3.

TBD – what is the added value of Step 1?? Consider to remove. It assumes the DUT supports PTP.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **sSvsN2** | **Verify that the DUT behaves as specified in the PIXIT on a mismatching data set element**  **(preferred variant only)** | **Passed**  **Failed**  **Inconclusive** |
| IEC 61869-9 6.903.10  PIXIT Svs5 | | |
| Expected result  1. DUT subscribes the sampled values  2. DUT subscribes the sampled values according to PIXIT-Svs5  3. DUT subscribes the sampled values according to PIXIT-Svs5 | | |
| Test description  Configure the DUT to subscribe to the maximum preferred variant of all preferred variants  1. SIMULATOR publishes SV stream with synchSourceId  2. SIMULATOR publishes SV stream with same ConfRev with an extra dataset element pair at the end  3. SIMULATOR publishes SV stream with same ConfRev with missing last dataset element pair | | |
| Comment  A pair is the sample plus quality  Tested with configuration: X | | |